

RTI REQUEST DETAILS (आरटीआई अनुरोध विवरण)

Registration Number (पंजीकरण संख्या) :	DITEC/R/E/22/00597	Date of Receipt (प्राप्ति की तारीख) :	13/06/2022
Type of Receipt (रसीद का प्रकार) :	Online Receipt	Language of Request (अनुरोध की भाषा) :	English
Name (नाम) :	Vasant Avaghade	Gender (लिंग) :	Male
Address (पता) :	Visava Heights, Building No. 4, Flat. No. 1, Visava Park, D.P. Road, Aundh, Pune, Pin:411007		
State (राज्य) :	Details not provided	Country (देश) :	India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Details not provided	Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :	+91-9405753727
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :	avaghade@yahoo.com		
Status (स्थिति)(Rural/Urban) :	Urban	Education Status :	
Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? (क्या आवेदक गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :	No	Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :	Indian
Amount Paid (राशि का भुगतान) :	10) (original recipient)	Mode of Payment (भुगतान का प्रकार) :	Payment Gateway
Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person? (क्या यह किसी व्यक्ति के जीवन अथवा स्वतंत्रता से संबंधित है?) :	No(Normal)	Request Pertains to (अनुरोध निम्नलिखित संबंधित है) :	Revathi Suresh Kumar (Pers.3)
Information Sought (जानकारी मांगी) :	The details of RTI Application are in a document uploaded as supporting document		

Print Save Close

Dec
16/6

DD (P.111)

WJ
16/6/2022
SOCHI

Date: 13.06.2022

To

CPIO

P-III Section

Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology

New Delhi.

Sub: Seeking information under the RTI ACT, 2005

Hon'ble CAT, Principle Bench, new Delhi, in OA No. 1441/2022, in case of Shri Shivcharan Singh and ors vs Union of India, vide order dated 27.05.2022 has directed MeitY to consider the applicants' aforesaid pending representations/reminders [Annexure-14 (colly)], also keeping in view the judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court in Union of India & Ors vs. Vinay Kumar, and to dispose of the same by passing an appropriate reasoned and speaking order, as expeditiously as possible, and in any case not later than two month from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. It is made clear that if the applications are found similarly situated to the respondents before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the respondents are directed to extend similar benefits to the applicants herein also; otherwise whatever decision is arrived at by the respondent authority, shall be communicated to the applicants forthwith.

In this context, kindly provide the certified copy of documents /document containing following information:

- a. *All file notings at MeitY w.r.t. implementing the order dated 27.05.2022 of CAT Principle bench Delhi in OA No. 1441/2022, in case of Shri Shivcharan Singh and ors vs Union of India*
- b. *OM/Order issued by MeitY w.r.t. implementing the order dated 27.05.2022 of CAT Principle bench Delhi in OA No. 1441/2022, in case of Shri Shivcharan Singh and ors vs Union of India*

- c. Communications made by MeitY with DOPT w.r.t. implementing the order dated 27.05.2022 of CAT Principle bench Delhi in OA No. 1441/2022, in case of Shri Shivcharan Singh and ors vs Union of India*
- d. Advice given by DOPT to MeitY regarding implementing the order dated 27.05.2022 of CAT Principle bench Delhi in OA No. 1441/2022, in case of Shri Shivcharan Singh and ors vs Union of India*
- e. Communications made by MeitY with DoLA w.r.t. seeking advice of DoLA regarding implementing the order dated 27.05.2022 of CAT Principle bench Delhi in OA No. 1441/2022, in case of Shri Shivcharan Singh and ors vs Union of India*
- f. Advice given by DoLA to MeitY regarding implementing the order dated 27.05.2022 of CAT Principle bench Delhi in OA No. 1441/2022, in case of Shri Shivcharan Singh and ors vs Union of India*

The information sought has direct relevance to the antedating of promotion of the applicant from the date eligibility under FCS promotion policy. Further Information sought has not been expressly forbidden to be published by any court of law or tribunal or the disclosure of which may constitute contempt of court. Hence it cannot be denied under section 8(1)(b) of RTI Act. Further, MeitY is the custodian of the information sought and hence information sought not be denied under section 8(1)(e) of RTI Act. Further there is a large public interest involved in disclosing the sought information and hence information sought information does not fall within the restrictions contained in Section 8 & 9 of the RTI Act

With Regards,

Vasant Avaghade

RTI REQUEST DETAILS (आरटीआई अनुरोध विवरण)

Registration Number (पंजीकरण संख्या) :	DITEC/R/E/22/00598	Date of Receipt (प्राप्ति की तारीख) :	15/06/2022
Type of Receipt (रसीद का प्रकार) :	Online Receipt	Language of Request (अनुरोध की भाषा) :	English
Name (नाम) :	Vasant Avaghade	Gender (लिंग) :	Male
Address (पता) :	Visava Heights, Building No. 4, Flat. No. 1, Visava Park, D.P. Road, Aundh, Pune, Pin:411007		
State (राज्य) :	Maharashtra	Country (देश) :	India
Phone Number (फोन नंबर) :	Details not provided	Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) :	+91-9405753727
Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :	avaghade@yahoo.com		
Status (स्थिति)(Rural/Urban) :	Urban	Education Status :	
Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? (क्या आवेदक गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) :	No	Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) :	Indian
Amount Paid (राशि का भुगतान) :	10) (original recipient)	Mode of Payment (भुगतान का प्रकार) :	Payment Gateway
Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person? (क्या यह किसी व्यक्ति के जीवन अथवा स्वतंत्रता से संबंधित है?) :	No(Normal)	Request Pertains to (अनुरोध निम्नलिखित संबंधित है) :	Revathi Suresh Kumar (Pers.3)
Information Sought (जानकारी मांगी) :	The details of RTI application are in a document uploaded as supporting document.		

Done
16/6

DO (P. 112)

16/6/2022
SO (H)

16/6/2022

Date: 15.06.2022

To

CPIO

P-III Section

Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology

New Delhi.

Sub: Seeking information under the RTI ACT, 2005

Hon'ble CAT, Principle Bench, new Delhi, in OA No. 1208/2022, in case of All India NIC S&T Officers Association and Anr vs Union of India, vide order dated 06.05.2022 has directed respondents (MeitY, NIC, DOPT) *to consider the representations made by the applicant's association , keeping in view the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Union of India & Ors vs. Vinay Kumar, and to dispose of the same by passing reasoned and speaking order , as early as possible , and in any case not later than two month from the date of receipt of the copy of the order . It is made clear that if the applications are found similarly situated to the respondents before the Hon'ble Supreme Court , the respondents are directed to extend similar benefits to the applicants herein also; otherwise whatever decision is arrived at by the respondent authority , shall be communicated to the applicants forthwith.*

2. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) is the first respondent in this case. It is almost 6 weeks after CAT Delhi passing the order and hence MeitY would have certainly received CAT order either from CAT, from NIC , from NIC S&T Officers Association and MeitY or some other source and would have started acting on it as CAT has ordered MeitY to implement it's order with two months from the date of issue of the order on 6.5.2022.

3, For imple nting the CAT order dated 6.05.2022 MeitY would certainly have consulted DOPT and DoIA if the need be and may have received communications from NIC or sent communications to NIC.

The information sought has direct relevance to the antedating of promotion of the applicant from the date eligibility under FCS promotion policy. Further Information sought has not been expressly forbidden to be published by any court of law or tribunal or the disclosure of which may constitute contempt of court. Hence it cannot be denied under section 8(1)(b) of RTI Act. Further, MeitY is the custodian of the information sought and hence information sought not be denied under section 8(1)(e) of RTI Act. Further there is a large public interest involved in disclosing the sought information and hence information sought information does not fall within the restrictions contained in Section 8 & 9 of the RTI Act

With Regards,

Vasant Avaghade

RTI REQUEST DETAILS (आरटीआई अनुरोध विवरण)

Registration Number (पंजीकरण संख्या): DITEC/R/E/22/00655 Date of Receipt (प्राप्ति की तारीख): 28/06/2022

Type of Receipt (रसीद का प्रकार): Online Receipt Language of Request (अनुरोध की भाषा): English

Name (नाम): Vasant Avaghade Gender (लिंग): Male

Address (पता): Visava Heights, Building No. 4, Flat. No. 1, Visava Park, D.P Road, Aundh, Pune, Pin:411007

State (राज्य): Maharashtra Country (देश): India

Phone Number (फोन नंबर): Details not provided Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर): +91-9405753727

Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी): avaghade@yahoo.com

Status (स्थिति)(Rural/Urban): Urban Education Status:

Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? (क्या आवेदक गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?): No Citizenship Status (नागरिकता): Indian

Amount Paid (राशि का भुगतान): 10 (original recipient) Mode of Payment (भुगतान का प्रकार): Payment Gateway

Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person? (क्या यह किसी व्यक्ति के जीवन अथवा स्वतंत्रता से संबंधित है?): No(Normal) Request Pertains to (अनुरोध निम्नलिखित संबंधित है): Revathi Suresh Kumar (Pers.3)

Information Sought (जानकारी मांगी): The details of RTI application are in a document uploaded as supporting document

Print Save Close

De
1/7

DD (P.01)

1/7/2022
SO (HT)

Date: 01/07/2022

- b. Advise/legal opinion given by DoLA to MeitY ID note to DoLA on the applicability of Judgments of Hon'ble Apex Court in S.K. Murti and Vinay Kumar cases, on other pending cases before any Tribunal /Court or similarly placed employees, as the Hon'ble Apex Court did not indicate clearly in its order dated 25.08.2021 that the ratio of the judgements in S.K. Murti and Vinay Kumar case will apply to all similarly placed employees.*
- c. File notings at MeitY with respect to examining the matter at MeitY end and taking an administrative policy decision as advised by DoLA*
- d. Communications/ID note sent by MeitY to DOPT in response the legal advise from DoLA and replies received from DOPT*
- e. Policy decision taken by MeitY subsequent to receiving the advice from DoLA and OMs issued by MoEFCC in this regard.*

The information sought has direct relevance to the antedating of promotion of the applicant from the date eligibility under FCS promotion policy. Further Information sought has not been expressly forbidden to be published by any court of law or tribunal or the disclosure of which may constitute contempt of court. Hence it cannot be denied under section 8(1)(b) of RTI Act. Further, MeitY is the custodian of the information sought and hence information sought can not be denied under section 8(1)(c) of RTI Act. Further there is a large public interest involved in disclosing the sought information and hence information sought information does not fall within the restrictions contained in Section 8 & 9 of the RTI Act

Yours faithfully,

Vasant Avaghade

RTI REQUEST DETAILS (आरटीआई अनुरोध विवरण)

Registration Number (पंजीकरण संख्या) : DITEC/R/E/22/00664 Date of Receipt (प्राप्ति की तारीख) : 01/07/2022

Type of Receipt (रसीद का प्रकार) : Online Receipt Language of Request (अनुरोध की भाषा) : English

Name (नाम) : Gender (लिंग) :

Address (पता) :

State (राज्य) : Country (देश) : India

Phone Number (फोन नंबर) : Details not provided Mobile Number (मोबाईल नंबर) : +91-9405753727

Email-ID (ईमेल-आईडी) :

Status (स्थिति)(Rural/Urban) : Education Status :

Is Requester Below Poverty Line ? (क्या आवेदक गरीबी रेखा से नीचे का है?) : No Citizenship Status (नागरिकता) : Indian

Amount Paid (राशि का भुगतान) : 10 (original receipt) Mode of Payment (भुगतान का प्रकार) : Payment Gateway

Does it concern the life or Liberty of a Person? (क्या यह किसी व्यक्ति के जीवन अथवा स्वतंत्रता से संबंधित है?) : No(Normal) Request Pertains to (अनुरोध निम्नलिखित संबंधित है) :

Information Sought (जानकारी मांगी): The details of RTI application are in a document uploaded as supporting document.

Print Save Close

Done
4/7

DD (RIT)

LN
4/7/2022
SO (RT)

Date : 1.07.2022

To
Revathi Suresh Kumar
CPIO,
Pers-III Section ,
Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology New Delhi.

Sub: Seeking information under the RTI ACT, 2005

Respected Madam,

MeitY vide ID Note No 2(9)/2021-P.III dated 16/3/2022 on the subject “ *Implementation of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the Civil Appeal No.6359/2016 and other tagged matters - Revision of policies /guidelines under FCS/MFCS* “ , requested DoPT to examine the matter taking into account the facts brought out in this ID note and advise MeitY on the order dated 27.09.2013 of Hon'ble Tribunal for the Scientist other than the petitioners.

2. DOPT Vide their ID note No. Misc.CS-14017/19/2021-Estt (RR) dated 23.06.2022 to MeitY (in response to MeitY ID Note No. 2 (9)/2021-PIII dated 16.03.2022) in para 4 has advised MeitY as under:

“ 4. Further, MeitY is also advised in the first instance to seek legal opinion of DoLA on the applicability of Judgments of Hon'ble Apex Court in S.K. Murti and Vinay Kumar cases, on other pending cases before any Tribunal /Court or similarly placed employees , as the Hon'ble Apex Court did not indicate clearly in its order dated 25.08.2021 that the ratio of the judgments in S.K. Murti and Vinay Kumar Case will apply to all similarly placed employees.”

In the above context, kindly provide the certified copies of documents/ documents containing the following information:

a. MeitY ID note to DoLA seeking advice of DoLA on the applicability of Judgments of Hon'ble Apex Court in S.K. Murti and Vinay Kumar cases, on other pending cases before any Tribunal /Court or similarly placed employees, as the Hon'ble Apex Court did not indicate clearly in its order dated 25.08.2021 that the ratio of the judgments in S.K. Murti and Vinay Kumar case will apply to all similarly placed employees.

b. Advise/legal opinion given by DoLA to MeitY in response to MeitY ID note to DoLA mentioned in point 'a' above.

c. File/green sheet notings at MeitY with respect to examining matter/ advices of DoLA at MeitY and taking an administrative policy decision at MeitY

d. Communications/ID note sent by MeitY to DOPT after getting the legal advice from DoLA and replies received from DOPT if any.

e. Policy decision taken by MeitY subsequent to receiving the advice from DoLA and OMs issued by MeitY w.r.t. Implementing the Apex court order dated 25.08.2021 in case of similarly placed employees.

The information sought has direct relevance to antedating of promotion of the applicant from the date eligibility under FCS promotion policy. The information sought is also in the context of the directions of NCSC to MeitY in ongoing case of petitioner before NCSC in which MeitY and DOPT are parties.

Further, information sought has not been expressly forbidden to be published by any court of law or tribunal or the disclosure of which may constitute contempt of court. Hence it cannot be denied under section 8(1)(b) of RTI Act. Further, MeitY is the custodian of the

information sought and hence information sought cannot be denied under section 8(1)(c) of RTI Act.

Further, there is a large public interest involved in disclosing the sought information and hence information sought information does not fall within the restrictions contained in Section 8 & 9 of the RTI Act.

Yours faithfully,