

RFD Results-Framework Document

for

Department of Information Technology

(2009-2010)

SECTION 1: Vision, Mission, Objectives and Functions

Vision

e-Development of India as the engine for transition into a developed nation and an empowered society.

<u>Mission</u>

e-Development of India through multi pronged strategy of e-Infrastructure creation to facilitate and promote e-governance, promotion of Electronics & Information Technology- Information Technology Enabled Services (IT-ITeS) Industry, providing support for creation of Innovation / Research & Development (R&D), building Knowledge network and securing India's cyber space.

Objectives

- e-Government: Providing e-infrastructure for delivery of e-services
- e-Industry: Promotion of electronics hardware manufacturing and IT-ITeS industry
- e-Innovation / R & D: Providing Support for creation of Innovation Infrastructure in emerging areas of technology
- e-Education: Providing support for development of e-Skills and Knowledge network
- e-Security: Securing India's cyber space

Functions

- Policy matters relating to Information Technology, Electronics and Internet.
- Initiatives for development of Hardware / Software industry including knowledge based enterprises, measures for promoting IT exports and competitiveness of the industry.
- Promotion of IT and IT enabled services and Internet.
- Assistance to other departments in the promotion of E-Governance, E-Infrastructure, E-Medicine, E-Commerce, etc.
- Promotion of Information Technology education and Information Technologybased education.
- Matters relating to Cyber Laws, administration of the Information Technology Act. 2000 (21 of 2000) and other IT related laws.
- Matters relating to promotion and manufacturing of Semiconductor Devices in the country.
- Interaction in IT related matters with International agencies and bodies.
- Initiative on bridging the Digital Divide, Matters relating to Media Lab Asia.
- Promotion of Standardization, Testing and Quality in IT and standardization of procedure for IT application and Tasks.
- Electronics Export and Computer Software Promotion Council (ESC).
- National Informatics Centre (NIC)
- All matters relating to personnel under the control of the Department.

SECTION 2: Inter se Priorities among Key Objectives, Success indicators and Targets (1st April 2009 – 31st March 2010)

| Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 | Colur | nn 4 | Column 5 | | Co | olumn 6 | | |
|--|-------------|---|---|--------|-------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|------|------|
| | | | | | | | Target / | Criteria Valu | e | |
| Objective | Weight | Actions | Success Indicator | Unit | Weight | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair | Poor |
| | | | | | | 100% | 90% | 80% | 70% | 60% |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 1 e- Government: Providing e- infractructure | 35 | Action 1 Setup State Wide Area Networks (SWANs) | Operationalization of 19 SWAN Networks | | 15 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 14 |
| infrastructure for delivery of e-services | | Action 2 Finalize State Data Centres (SDCs)contracts | Finalization of SDC Contracts in 8 States | Number | 15 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 |

| Action 3 | Setting up of | Number | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 38000 CSCs | | | | | | | |
| Setting up of | | | | | | | | |
| additional | | | 15 | 38000 | 35000 | 30000 | 28000 | 25000 |
| Common Service | 1 7 | | | | | | | |
| Centres (CSCs) | | | | | | | | |
| Action 4 | To appraise the | Number of | | | | | | |
| | RFP, EFC notes | weeks | | | | | | |
| Appraisal of | for MMPs within | | | | | | | |
| Mission Mode | 2 weeks from the | | 25 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4.5 | 5 |
| Projects (MMPs) | date of receipt of | | | | | | | 5 |
| | electronic copy | | | | | | | |
| Action 5 | Availability of | Percentage | | | | | | |
| | round the clock | | | | | | | |
| , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | n secured e- | | 20 | | | | | |
| speed & Secured | | | | 96.5 | 96 | 92 | 87 | 80 |
| e-Governance | network services | | | | | | | |
| Network | | | | | | | | |
| Action 6 | Number of sites | Number | | | | | | |
| | e-governance | | | | | | | |
| Design, develop | applications | | | | | | | |
| and | implemented | | 10 | 1000 | 950 | 900 | 850 | 800 |
| implementation | | | 10 | 1000 | 750 | 700 | 050 | 000 |
| of E-Go | 7 | | | | | | | |
| applications | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Objective 2 | | Action 1 | Complete | | | | | | - | |
|---------------|----|----------------|-------------------|-----------|----|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | processing of | Levels of | | 3 | 2 | 1 | | - |
| e-Industry: | | Implementation | applications | approval | | | | | | |
| Promotion of | | of Special | received upto | | | | | | | |
| electronics | | Incentive | 31.12.09 by | | | | | | | |
| hardware | | Package Scheme | 31.03.10 through | | | | | | | |
| manufacturing | | (SIPS) | various stages of | | | | | | | |
| and IT-ITeS | | | approvals | | | | | | | |
| industry | | | involving | | | | | | | |
| | | | Technical | | | | | | | |
| | | | Committee, | | | | | | | |
| | | | Appraisal | | | | | | | |
| | | | Committee, MOF | | | | | | | |
| | 25 | | and | | 15 | | | | | |
| | 23 | | Draft | | 15 | | | | | |
| | | | CCI/Cabinet | | | | | | | |
| | | | note (Level 1), | | | | | | | |
| | | | Comments of | | | | | | | |
| | | | Departments | | | | | | | |
| | | | (Level 2) and | | | | | | | |
| | | | Decision of | | | | | | | |
| | | | Cabinet/ | | | | | | | |
| | | | Cabinet | | | | | | | |
| | | | Committee on | | | | | | | |
| | | | Infrastructure | | | | | | | |
| | | | (CCI) (Level 3) | | | | | | | |

| | Obtain in- | Levels of | | | | 1 | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|
| Implementation of the recommendations of Task Force setup to suggest measures to stimulate the growth of IT, ITES and Electronics hardware manufacturing Industry | principle approval of implementable | approval | 10 | 3 | 2 | | | - |
| Action 3 Seek approval for extension of STP & EHTP scheme with or without modifications beyond March 2011 | The proposal is considered by Ministry of | Level of consideration | 15 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Action 4 Facilitate growth | Production of Electronics | Growth in % in INR terms | 20 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 9 |

| | | Industry | a growth rate of 13% in INR terms. | | | | | | | |
|--|----|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | | Facilitate growth | Exports by IT/ITES industry achieves a growth rate of 5% in US \$ terms | % growth in US \$ terms | 20 | б | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| | | Facilitate growth of domestic | Domestic IT/ITES industry achieves a growth rate of 15% in INR terms | terms | 20 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 9 |
| Objective 3 e-Innovation/ R & D: Providing support for | 15 | | Projects initiated in Electronics & IT | | 15 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 14 | 13 |
| creation of Innovation Infrastructure in emerging areas of | | Create Technical Infrastructure | Establishment of infrastructure | | 40 | 2 | 1 | | | |
| technology | | | Achievement of target creation of IPR | Number | 20 | 75 | 72 | 69 | 66 | 65 |

| | | EBR Generation by CDAC | Rs Crore | 25 | 100 | 96 | 92 | 88 | 87 |
|---|---|---|----------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Objective 4 10 e-Education: Providing support for development of | Action 1) Setting up of National Knowledge Network (NKN) core and nodes | Number of end user locations f covered under NKN | | 70 | 40 | 35 | 32 | 30 | 28 |
| e-Skills and Knowledge network | Action 2 | Initiation of approved projects | Number | 20 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | Action 3 | Number of training programs conducted | Number | 10 | 300 | 296 | 290 | 285 | 280 |

| Objective 5 e-Security: Securing India's cyber space | 10 | of organisations | cyber attacks and | Number | 10 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
|---|----|--|--|--------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | | • | Release of Manual for investigation of cyber incidents | Number | 10 | 1 | - | _ | - | |
| | | | Creation of cyber security policy document and release for public consultation | Number | 10 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| | | | Conducting cyber security mock drills | Number | 10 | 2 | 1 | | - | - |
| | | Action 2 Conducting awareness trainings for exposure | Awareness trainings conducted | Number | 10 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 |

| | Security incidents | Number | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|------------|----|------|------|------|------|------|
| Security incident | handled | | 20 | 6600 | 6500 | 6400 | 6300 | 6200 |
| 1 | % of Incidents handled in reasonable time | Percentage | 10 | 85 | 80 | 75 | 65 | 50 |
| Action 4 | Cyber security | Number | | | | | | |
| Issuance of | alerts issued | | 5 | 45 | 42 | 39 | 35 | 32 |
| security alerts on | Advisories issued | Number | | | | | | |
| latest threats and | | | 5 | 70 | 67 | 64 | 60 | 57 |
| vulnerabilities | Vulnerability | Number | | | | | | |
| | notes issued | | 10 | 225 | 220 | 215 | 210 | 205 |

SECTION 3: Trend Values of the Success Indicators

| Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 | Column | 4 | Column 5 | Column 6 | Column 7 | Column 8 | Column 9 |
|--|-------------|----------|---|------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|----------|
| Objective | Weight | | Success Indicator | Unit | Actual Value for FY 07-08 | Actual Value for FY 08-09 | Value for | Actual Value for FY 10-11 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 1 e- Government: Providing e- infrastructure | 35 | | Operationalization of 19 SWAN Networks | | - | 3 | 19 | 11 | - |
| for delivery of e-services | | | Finalization of SDC Contracts in 8 States | | - | - | 8 | 27 | - |

| Action 3 | Setting up of 38000Number CSCs | | | | | |
|--|---|-----|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Setting up of additional Common Service Centres (CSCs) | | - | 35000 | 38000 | 27000 | - |
| Action 4 Appraisal of | To appraise the RFP,Number of EFC notes forweeks MMPs within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of electronic copy | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Action 5 Provide High speed & Secured e-Governance Network | Availability of Percentage round the clock secured e- Governance network services | 94 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 98 |
| Action 6 Design, develop and implementation of E-Gov applications | Number of sites e- Number governance applications f implemented | 840 | 920 | 950 | 1000 | 1100 |

| Objective 2 | | Action 1 | Complete processing | Levels of | | | | |
|---------------|----|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------|---|---|---|--|
| | 25 | | of applications | approval | | | | |
| e-Industry: | | Implementation of | received upto | | | | | |
| Promotion of | | Special Incentive | 31.12.09 by 31.3.10 | | | | | |
| electronics | | Package Scheme | through various | | | | | |
| hardware | | (SIPS) | stages of approvals | | | | | |
| manufacturing | | | involving Technical | | | | | |
| and IT-ITeS | | | Committee, | | | | | |
| industry | | | Appraisal Committee | | | | | |
| | | | , MOF and | | | | 3 | |
| | | | Draft CCI/Cabinet | | - | - | 5 | |
| | | | note (Level 1), | | | | | |
| | | | Comments of | | | | | |
| | | | Departments (Level | | | | | |
| | | | 2) and | | | | | |
| | | | Decision of Cabinet/ | | | | | |
| | | | Cabinet Committee | | | | | |
| | | | on Infrastructure | | | | | |
| | | | (CCI) (Level 3) | | | | | |

| A | Action 2 | Obtain in-principle | Level/ Stage | | | | | |
|----|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | of approval | | | | | |
| I | mplementation of | | 11 | | | | | |
| | - | recommendations | | | | | | |
| r | ecommendations | from Committee of | | | | | | |
| 0 | of Task Force to | Secretaries (COS) by | | | | | | |
| S | suggest measures | 31 st March 2010 | | | | | | |
| to | o stimulate the | 2010 by Circulation | | | | | | |
| g | growth of IT, | of COS note (Level | | | | 3 | | |
| ľ | TES and | 1) | | - | - | 5 | | |
| E | Electronics | Decision of COS | | | | | | |
| h | nardware | (Level 2), | | | | | | |
| n | nanufacturing | preparation of | | | | | | |
| I | | CCEA note based on | | | | | | |
| | | COS | | | | | | |
| | | recommendations | | | | | | |
| | | (Level 3) | | | | | | |
| | | 1 1 | Level of | | | | | |
| | Seek approval for | • | Consideration | | | | | |
| | | Ministry of Finance | | | | | | |
| | | and Cabinet / CCI by | | | | | _ | |
| | | 31.3.2010 Proposal | | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| | | to MOF (Level 1) | | | | | | |
| | 5 | Decision from MOF | | | | | | |
| 2 | 2011 | (Level 2) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | | Action 4 Facilitate growth of Electronics Hardware Industry | Production of Electronics Hardware Industry achieves a growth rate of 13% in INR terms. | Growth in % in INR terms | 28 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 18 |
|---|----|---|--|-----------------------------|------|------|----|-----|-----|
| | | Action 5 Facilitate growth of Exports of IT/ITES Industry | Exports by IT/ITES industry achieves a growth rate of 5% in US \$ terms | in US \$ terms | 29.9 | 14.6 | 5 | 15 | 20 |
| | | Action 6 Facilitate growth of domestic IT/ITES Industry | Domestic IT/ITES industry achieves a growth rate of 15% in INR terms | % Growth in INR terms | 27.8 | 21.7 | 15 | 16 | 16 |
| Objective 3 e-Innovation/ R & D: Providing support for creation of | 15 | Action 1 Initiation of new R&D Projects in Electronics and IT | Projects initiated in Electronics & IT | Number | 45 | 37 | 20 | 29 | 29 |
| Innovation Infrastructure in emerging areas of | | Action 2 Create Technical Infrastructure | Establishment of infrastructure | Number | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| technology | | Action 3 IPR Promotion | Achievement of target creation of IPR | Number | 39 | 54 | 72 | 95 | 105 |
| | | Action 4 Support to CDAC | EBR Generation by CDAC | Rs Crore | 76 | 100 | 96 | 100 | 100 |

| Objective 4 e-Education: Providing support for development | | National Knowledge Network (NKN) core and nodes | | | - | 17 | 35 | - | - |
|--|----|--|---|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| of e-Skills and Knowledge network | | Appraise & obtain approval for new projects on Language Technology & e-Learning | approved projects | Number | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| | | Provide Quality management education and conduct skill development trainings | Number of training programs conducted | Number | 300 | 280 | 296 | 310 | 320 |
| Objective 5 | 10 | Action 1 | | | | | | | |
| e-Security: Securing India's cyber | | security posture of organisations and cyber users and enhancement in | Release of Upgradaded 'Crisis Management Plan for countering cyber attacks and cyber terrorism | Number | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| space | systems to resist | Release of Manual | Number | | | 1 | | |
|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------|-----|------|------|----|----|
| | cyber attacks | for investigation of | | _ | _ | 1 | - | - |
| | | cyber incidents | | - | - | | | |
| | | Creation of cyber | Number | | | | | |
| | | security policy | | | | | | |
| | | document and | | - | _ | 1 | - | - |
| | | release for public | | | | | | |
| | | consultation | | | | | | |
| | | Conducting cyber | Number | | | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| | | security mock drills | | - | - | 1 | I | I |
| | Action 2 | Awareness trainings | | | | | | |
| | | conducted | Number | | | | | |
| | Conducting | | | 6 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 20 |
| | awareness | | | 0 | 17 | 17 | 10 | 20 |
| | trainings for | | | | | | | |
| | exposure | | | | | | | |
| | Action 3 | Security incidents handled | Number | 582 | 3024 | 6500 | - | - |
| | Security incident | % of Incidents | | | | | | |
| | response | handled in reasonable time | Percentage | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| | Action 4 | Cyber security alerts | Number | | | | | |
| | | issued | | 36 | 40 | 42 | 36 | 40 |
| | Issuance of | | | | | | | |
| | security alerts on | Advisories issued | Number | 67 | 60 | 67 | | |
| | latest threats and | | | 0/ | 68 | 67 | - | - |
| | vulnerabilities | Vulnerability notes | Number | | | | | |
| | | issued | | 158 | 205 | 220 | - | - |

SECTION 4: Description and Definition of Success Indicators and Proposed Measurement Methodology

| Success indicators | Description and definition | Measurement methodology |
|--|--|--|
| Operationalization of 19 SWANs Networks | • Under National E Governance Plan, Department of Information Technology is creating the service delivery platform necessary for delivery | • Success of E governance ultimately depends on number of services rolled |
| Finalization of SDC Contracts in 8 States Setting up of additional | of public services through Mission Mode Projects. This requires creation of the core E infrastructure that will be leveraged by all Mission Mode Projects for service delivery. | out to citizens and the impact made on Governance. • However in the present |
| 38000 CSCs To appraise the RFP, EFC notes for MMPs within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of electronic copy | E-infrastructure consists of State Data Centres (SDCs) which houses applications and data, State Wide Area Network (SWANs) which provides secure connectivity upto Block level and Common Service Centres (CSCs) which are front ends in villages for citizens to access services. DIT facilitates implementation of e- infrastructure projects through the State Governments. Line Ministries and State Governments have the ownership and responsibility for implementation of MMPs. Role of DIT with reference to MMPs is appraisal of projects and capacity building within line departments and State Governments. | period, the success indicators identified are being measured in terms of numbers i.e., either number of CSCs set up or number of states where SWANs have been rolled out or number of SDC contracts finalized. For MMPs, role of DIT is in appraisal so success is being measured in terms of time taken to do the appraisal. |
| Availability of round the clock secured e- Governance network services | NIC is providing the network services on 24 X 7 basis. This is made available to the user department. | Uptime in a year |
| Number of sites e- governance applications | E-Governenace applications and services relate to number of e-Governance applications designed/ developed / implemented at various sites as desired | Accordingly targets have been taken in terms of numbers. |

| | ork Document (KFD) for Department of Information Tec | 110105j (200) 2010) |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| implemented | by user departments during the year. | |
| | | |
| Complete processing of | Preliminary examination of the applications received so | Timely by various |
| applications received | far, technical evaluation of the proposal, taking a view on | processing/approving levels in |
| upto 31.12.09 in | in-principle approval considering the steps taken by the | Government. |
| response to SIPS | applicants for implementation of the project like | |
| | acquisition of land, technology tie-up, arrangement for | |
| | procurement of plant and machinery, etc. Consideration | |
| | of project approval after the applicants intimate having | |
| | achieved financial closure. | |
| Obtain in-principle | Examination of the Report submitted by the Task Force, | Timely consideration by various |
| approval of | which shall include segregation of recommendations into | processing/approving levels in |
| implementable | generic and specific; identification of actionable | Government. |
| recommendations of | recommendations; identification of the concerned | |
| the Task Force through | Departments responsible for the implementation and | |
| Ministry of Finance & | initiating the process for obtaining in-principle approvals. | |
| Committee of | | |
| Secretaries (COS) by | | |
| 31 st March 2010 | | |
| The proposal for | Continued support for growth in this segment is essential | Timely consideration by various |
| extension of STP & | for achieving desired levels of GDP growth. | processing/approving levels in |
| EHTP is considered by | | Government. |
| Ministry of Finance | | |
| and Cabinet / Cabinet | | |
| Committee on | | |
| Infrastructure (CCI) by | | |
| 31.3.2010 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Production of | Continued support for growth in this segment is essential | Growth rate of Electronics |
| Electronics Hardware | for achieving desired levels of GDP growth. | Hardware industry |
| Industry achieves a | | - |
| growth rate of 13% in | | |
| INR terms. | | |
| Exports by IT/ITES | Continued support for growth in this segment is essential | Growth rate of IT-ITeS Industry |

| Kesuits-Framewo | ork Document (RFD) for Department of Information Tech | nnology (2009-2010) |
|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| industry achieves a | for achieving desired levels of GDP growth. | |
| growth rate of 5 % in | | |
| US \$ terms. | | |
| | | |
| Domestic IT/ITES | Continued support for growth in this segment is essential | Growth rate of IT-IteS Industry |
| industry achieves a | for achieving desired levels of GDP growth. | |
| growth rate of 15% in | | |
| INR terms | | |
| New Projects initiated | 1. The Department supports R&D in the areas of | Number of projects for which final |
| in the areas of | Electronics, IT, Communications, Convergence & | approval is obtained out of the |
| Electronics, ICT and | Broadband Technologies, IT Security and other | total number of projects |
| other areas of | areas. | recommended by the relevant |
| technology | 2. Initiation means obtaining final approval of the | Working Group |
| | Competent Authority for the project. | |
| | | |

Results-Framework Document (RFD) for Department of Information Technology (2009-2010)

| Establishment of | It includes (i) Creation of High Performance Computing | illiology (2009-2010) |
|---|---|--|
| infrastructure | infrastructure – PARAM; and (ii) "National Resource Centre for Free and Open Source Software" which hosts Open Source Software and Tools for free download; etc. Projects initiated – Number of new projects initiated Projects established – The number of infrastructure ready to use. | Number of infrastructure created |
| Achievement of target creation of IPR | The department of information Technology facilitates filing of national and international patents and other form of IPR to its support organizations as well as to the industry. The annual targets are fixed at the beginning of the year. The target achieved are included in the table. | |
| IEBR Generation by CDAC | The Department provides tactical and financial support to CDAC to develop core competencies in its thrust areas. This expertise is used by CDAC for its Education & Training activities and to take up projects, generating revenue and extra budgetary resources. IEBR has been used as a measure of success. | The internal resources (IR) and extra budgetary resources raised by CDAC in a year |
| Release of Upgraded 'Crisis Management Plan for countering cyber attacks and cyber terrorism' | The Crisis Management Plan for countering cyber attacks and cyber terrorism needs to be updated yearly once | Number of upgraded Crisis Management Plan is published |
| Release of Manual for investigation of cyber incidents | The Manual for investigation of cyber incidents will help organizations and Law Enforcement agencies to collect and preserve appropriate evidence to investigate cyber security incidents | Number of Manual for investigation of cyber incidents is released |
| Creation of cyber security policy document and release for public consultation | Creation of cyber security policy for government organizations and release for consultation | Number of cyber security policy document created and approved |

| | ork Document (KFD) for Department of Information Tec | |
|------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Conducting cyber | The cyber security mock drills are conducted to assess | No. of Mock drills conducted per |
| security mock drills | the readiness of organizations to withstand cyber attacks. | year |
| Awareness trainings | The training programmes conducted in the relevant areas | No. of training programmes |
| conducted | of cyber security for targeted audience to enhance their | conducted per year. |
| | awareness for threats to different systems and suitable | |
| | countermeasures to prevent the attacks and reduce the | |
| | risk. | |
| Security incidents | Number of incidents handled out of the incidents | Number of incidents handled |
| handled | reported. | |
| | With the advent and growth of Information Technology | |
| | and associated systems and services, the number of | |
| | incidents also increase. CERT-In has to handle all the | |
| | incidents reported to it. | |
| % of Incidents handled | The incidents being reported to CERT-In need to be | % of incidents handled within 24 |
| in reasonable time | handled within a reasonable time. The exact time of | Hrs. of reporting |
| | handling an incidents depends on nature and severity of | |
| | incident. The reasonable time to handle an incident is | |
| | within 24 Hours of reporting. | |
| Cyber security alerts | The cyber security alerts are issued by CERT-In on its | Number of security alerts issued |
| issued | website through emails and postal mail in certain cases to | |
| | appraise organizations and users about specific threats | |
| | and advise them on suitable countermeasures. | |
| Advisories issued | The advisories are issued by CERT-In on its website and | Number of advisories issued |
| | through mailing list regarding critical vulnerabilities | |
| | affecting or having potential to affect a large number of | |
| | systems or networks in its constituency | |
| Vulnerability Notes | The Vulnerability Notes are the information provided by | Number of vulnerability notes |
| issued | CERT-In to its constituents in response to a new | issued |
| | vulnerability discovered in a system, platform, service or | |
| | device and suggesting suitable workarounds and/or | |
| | patches. | |
| | 11 | |

Specific Performance Requirements from other Departments

| Departments | Relevant Success Indicator | What do you need? | Why do you need it? | How much you need? | What happens if you do not get it? |
|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------|---|
| State Governments | Operationalization of 19 SWAN Networks Finalization of SDC Contracts in 8 States Setting up of additional 38000 CSCs | Timely Bid process management and implementation by State Governments | As per the schemes initiative is taken by GOIs but the entire implementation is with State Governments | 100 % | Implementation of projects gets delayed in case there is delay in performance by the State Governments. |
| Respective Line Departments, Central and State Government Departments | To appraise the RFP, EFC notes for MMPs within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of electronic copy | Timely Scheme conceptualization, administrative and financial approvals, bid process management and implementation by Line Ministries and State Governments | Line departments / State Governments are owners of MMPs and are responsible for Project conceptualization, administrative and financial approvals, bid process management and implementation. | 100 % | Delay in finalization of project documents and implementation of MMPs by Line Ministries and State Governments will result in delayed delivery of e services, thus impacting governance |
| Respective Line Departments, Central and State Government Departments | Availability of round the clock secured e-Governance network services | | To improve delivery of services and e- Governance projects. | 100% | Government will lack the use of latest technology tools available for efficient delivery of services, monitoring and quick availability and exchange of information. |

| | Results-Framework Document (RFD) for Department of Information Technology (2009-2010) | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|------|--|--|--|
| | Number of sites e- | Network based e- | | 100% | | | |
| | governance applications implemented | Gov applications | To improve delivery of services and e- Governance projects. | | Non availability of timely information for Planning, development and monitoring. It will also effect quality of Government services. | | |
| Ministry of Finance | Complete processing of applications received upto 31.12.09 in response to SIPS | Favorable consideration by the Technical Committee, Appraisal Committee, Ministry of Finance and Cabinet/ CCI | Responsibilities of the concerned nodal ministries under the allocation of Business Rules. | 100% | Could adversely impact efforts to boost growth of IT industry, specially electronics hardware manufacturing | | |
| M/o Finance, Commerce & Industry, HRD, D/o Telecom, etc | Obtain in-principle approval of implementable recommendations of the Task Force by 31 st March 2010 | Favorable consideration by Ministry of Finance and Cabinet /CCI | Responsibilities of the concerned nodal ministries under the allocation of Business Rules. | 100% | Could adversely impact efforts to boost growth of IT industry, specially electronics hardware manufacturing | | |
| Ministry of Finance | The proposal for extension of STP & EHTP is considered by Ministry of Finance and Cabinet / CCI by 31.3.2010 | To seek approval for extension of STP & EHTP scheme with or without modifications beyond March 2011 | M/o Finance is the nodal ministry for approving all proposals having financial implications. | 100% | Non approval of extension could seriously impact growth of IT-ITES exports. | | |

4010 ^ ^

| | Results-Framework Document (RFD) for Department of Information Technology (2009-2010) | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|-----------------|----------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Release of Upgraded | Sanction of | CERT-In operates on | Additional | It will affect the achievement | | | |
| | 'Crisis Management Plan for | manpower | 24X7 basis. Present | 30 persons | and realisation of targets | | | |
| | countering cyber attacks and | | manpower strength is | | | | | |
| | cyber terrorism' | | 26 and requires to be | | | | | |
| | | | enhanced significantly in | | | | | |
| | Conducting cyber security | | line with the | | | | | |
| | mock drills | | responsibility reposed on | | | | | |
| | | | CERT-In. | | | | | |
| | Security incidents handled | | | | | | | |
| | % of Incidents handled in | | | | | | | |
| | reasonable time | | | | | | | |
| | Cyber security alerts issued | | | | | | | |
| | Advisories issued | | | | | | | |
| | Vulnerability notes issued | | | | | | | |
| Concerned | Release of Upgraded 'Crisis | Comments on | The Crisis Management | | Delays the process of | | | |
| Ministries/Dep | Management Plan for | Crisis | Plan envisages different | | upgradation of Crisis | | | |
| artments in | countering cyber attacks and | Management Plan | roles and responsibilities | | Management Plan | | | |
| Central | cyber terrorism' | | to be performed by | | | | | |
| Government | | | concerned Ministries / | | | | | |
| | | | Departments. The plan | | | | | |
| | | | will be upgraded based | | | | | |
| | | | on their inputs. | | | | | |
| Concerned | Creation of cyber security | Comments on | The cyber security | | Delays the process of creation | | | |
| Ministries/Dep | policy | cyber security | policy needs to be | | of Cyber Security Policy | | | |
| artments in | document and release for | policy | implemented by | | | | | |
| Central | public consultation | | Ministries/Departments. | | | | | |
| Government | | | Their comments/inputs | | | | | |
| | | | are required to make it | | | | | |
| | | | practicable by them. | | | | | |

RFD FINAL SENT TO CAB SECTT on 27.01.10 5.00pm